

From: [Mishkin, Katherine](#)
To: [Mitchell, Tanya](#)
Cc: [Metz, Chloe](#); [Sivak, Michael](#)
Subject: RE: Rolling Knolls - FCR-09 Proposed Analyses at Existing Wells
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2015 8:37:33 PM

Hi Tanya,

Since there's a tight review time on this, I did a very quick review on this FCR and noticed they requested to limit monitoring wells sampling to new wells and only 2 previously sampled wells since they said concentrations have remained relatively the same in the existing wells. Additionally, I noticed they are specifically citing that metals concentrations have generally remained consistent in the 3 rounds. To address this statement in particular, at the very least, I would recommend that they include MW-10 in the 4th round of sampling since that is where we have dichlorofluoromethane.

Also, they should be aware that if they are ultimately going to pursue MNA of any of the contaminants or want to demonstrate a statistically significant decreasing trend in any of the monitoring wells prior in order to support a remedy decision, they should keep in mind that EPA's groundwater statistical tool requires at least 4 rounds of data.

Perhaps its best to have a comprehensive round of groundwater sampling for all monitoring wells, existing and new, to develop a clear conceptual site model inclusive of all monitoring wells prior to selecting a remedy.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Katie

Katherine Ryan Mishkin
Geologist
Superfund Technical Support Section
U.S. EPA Region 2
290 Broadway, 18th Floor
New York, NY 10007
(p) 212-637-4449
(f) 212-637-4439

From: Mitchell, Tanya

Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 2015 10:42 AM

To: Mishkin, Katherine

Subject: FW: Rolling Knolls - FCR-09 Proposed Analyses at Existing Wells

Attached is a FCR to identify the next round of MWs to be sampled in Phase 2 of the November Data Gaps SAP.

Please review and let me know if you can have comments by Monday.

Thanks,

Tanya